UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/590,759	04/10/2007	Masanori Takano	SCEP 22.700 (100809-00345	4173	
	7590		EXAMINER		
575 MADISON	· · — - · <del>-</del> —	LABAZE, EDWYN			
NEW YORK, N	NY 10022-2585		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2887		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/19/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		,	Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence			10/590,759		TAKANO ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit		
			EDWYN LAE		2887		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ars on the c	over sheet with the o	orrespondence ac	ldress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 30 Ser	ntember 200	08			
· · ·	•	2b)⊠ This a					
3)		<i>′</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is	
٥/ك	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
<b>D.</b> 10	·	ioo anaon Ex	pare dady	70, 1000 0.2. 11, 10	.0.0.210.		
· -	on of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>9-11 and 22-27</u> is/are pend	-	-				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		n from consi	deration.			
5)⊠	Claim(s) 9 and 22-27 is/are allowed						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>10-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election req	uirement.			
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) <u>□</u> accep	oted or b)□	objected to by the I	Examiner.		
•	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the dr	rawing(s) be l	neld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	n is required	if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to		•				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 4/16/08,6/26/08,12/8/08.	PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)	<b>=</b>	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/590,759 Page 2

Art Unit: 2887

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of IDS filed on 04/16/08, 6/26/08, & 12/08/08.

2. Receipt is acknowledged of Elections, amendments/arguments filed on 12/8/2008.

3. The applicant has elected group I with claims 9-11 and 22-27.

4. This application is a 371 of PCT/JP05/18926 filed on 10/14/2005.

# **Priority**

5. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Objections

6. Claims 22 and 25-27 are objected to because of the following informalities:

There is no antecedent basis for the limitations "the same hue" in the claims. The applicant is respectfully requested to substitute "the same hue" with "a hue" so as to render the claimed invention in condition for allowance.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

8. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a machine/apparatus asserted utility or a well established utility.

Re claim 10: The claimed invention lacks one of four enumerated categories of a statutory subject matter {i.e. process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter} (see MPEP 2106.IV section B. The applicant is respectfully requested to amend the claimed language so as to render the claimed invention in condition for allowance.

Claim 10 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a machine and/or apparatus asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ihara et al. (U.S. 6,650,776) in view of Nojiri (US 2004/0182930).

Ihara et al. discloses two-dimensional code recognition processing method and apparatus, which includes setting up a range of pixel values for detecting the reference cell, extracting pixels within the range thus set up, and detecting the reference cell in the frame image; and setting up a range of pixel values for detecting the comer cells, extracting pixels within the range thus set up, and detecting the comer cells in the frame image (col.2, lines 18-67; col.3, lines 1-21; col.16, lines 15-35).

Ihara et al. fails to specifically teach a RGB format.

Nojiri discloses two-dimensional code, method and apparatus for generating, displaying and reading the same, which includes a RGB format (see col.22, lines 1-67; col.25, lines 15-67; col.26, lines 1-67).

In view of Nojiri's teachings, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ into the teachings of Ihara a RGB format, in the form of a color filter, for identifying multiple colors {such red, blue, green} allocated to at least one of the position detecting patterns. Furthermore the RGB format, through a color filter, is well known in the art and is beneficial in determining which color is assigned to each cell. Therefore, such modification would have been an obvious extension as taught by Ihara et al.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 9 and 22-27 allowed.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record, herein broadly teaches a CPU that performs a binarization on the image but fails to specifically teach:

With regards to claim 9: a first detector which detects the reference cell in binary data for the frame image, whereupon the first detector detects the reference cell by referring to a resultant binary data; and a second detector which detects the comer cells in the binary data for the frame image, whereupon the second detector detects the comer cells by referring to a resultant binary data;

With regards to claims 22-27: an extractor which extracts the gradation area on the game mat, from binary data for the frame image; and an adjuster which refers to binary data for the gradation area and accordingly adjusts the range of pixel values in the RGB format for binarization by the binarization processor.

These limitations in conjunction with other limitations in the claimed invention were not by the prior art of record.

# Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-11 and 22-27 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDWYN LABAZE whose telephone number is (571)272-2395. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Paik can be reached on (571) 272-2404. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,759

Art Unit: 2887

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/EDWYN LABAZE/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2887

12/19/2008

Page 6

Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination	ent under	
10/590,759	TAKANO ET AL	ΓΑΚΑΝΟ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
EDWYN LABAZE	2887		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20081216